

ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 18 October 2022.
Panel reference	PPSSWC-177 – Penrith City Council – DA21/0607 94-100 Explorers Way, St Clair
Chair	Justin Doyle

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:			
no know	n conflict of interest $oxtimes$ OR		
an actua	an $\operatorname{actual^1} \square$ , potential <sup>2</sup> $\square$ or reasonably perceived <sup>3</sup> $\square$ conflict of interest, as detailed below:		
40			
	Justin Doyle	8/11/22	
Signature	Name	Date	
Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.			
Chair Signatur	e Name	Date	
Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at <a href="mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au">enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au</a>			

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 18 October 2022.	
Panel reference  PPSSWC-177 – Penrith City Council – DA21/0607 94-100 Explorers Way, St Clair		
Chair	Justin Doyle	

In relation to this matter, I declare	e that I have:	
no known conflict of interes	st ⊠ OR	
an actual $\square$ , potential $\square$	or reasonably perceived³ □ conflict	of interest, as detailed below:
Albarry	Louise Camenzuli	8/11/22
Signature	Name	Date
Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.		
Chair Signature	Name	Date

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at <a href="mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au">enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au</a>

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 18 October 2022.
Panel reference	PPSSWC-177 – Penrith City Council – DA21/0607 94-100 Explorers Way, St Clair
Chair	Justin Doyle

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:			
no known conflict of interest $oxtimes$ OR			
an actual $^1$ $\square$ , potential $^2$ $\square$	an $\operatorname{actual^1} \square$ , $\operatorname{potential^2} \square$ or reasonably perceived $^3 \square$ conflict of interest, as detailed below:		
//			
N.gr	Nicole Gurran	8/11/22	
Signature	Name	Date	
Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.			
Chair Signature	Name	Date	
Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at <a href="mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au">enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au</a>			

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically on 18 October 2022.
Panel reference	PPSSWC-177 – Penrith City Council – DA21/0607 94-100 Explorers Way, St Clair
Chair	Justin Doyle

In relation to this matter, I declare	e that I have:		
no known conflict of interes	st ⊠ OR		
an actual $^1$ $\square$ , potential $^2$ $\square$	an $\operatorname{actual^1}\Box$ , potential <sup>2</sup> $\Box$ or reasonably perceived <sup>3</sup> $\Box$ conflict of interest, as detailed below:		
Brila	Ross Fowler	8/11/22	
Signature	Name	Date	
Should a conflict be declared the panel chair is to ensure appropriate management measures are in place, as determined by the chair, and countersign this form, noting any additional measures.			
Chair Signature	Name	Date	
Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at <a href="mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au">enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au</a>			

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.